

Administrative - Internal Use Only**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Office of Logistics Annual Planning Conference (U)

FR

EXTENSION

NO.

OL 2097-84

DATE

15 AUG 1984

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

1.

C/IMSS/OL

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Administrative - Internal Use Only

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

15 AUG 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Management
Support Staff, OL

FROM:

[Redacted]

Chief, New Building Project Office, OL

SUBJECT: Office of Logistics Annual Planning Conference (U)

REFERENCE: Multiple Addressee Memo fm C/IMSS/OL, dtd 06 Aug 84,
Same Subject (U)

The following issues are submitted for consideration at the Office of Logistics Annual Planning Conference. As you can see, I have the questions but not the answers.

1. Contingency planning for ADP--LIMS, WANG, etc.--promises to improve the performance of OL under "fair-weather conditions." However, unless contingency systems are developed in parallel with these types of systems, severe problems are predestined. As more procedures and records are committed to ADP, personnel will lose proficiency with current manual processes, and all or many essential records will be inaccessible when ADP capability is lost. The Agency has no contingency ADP capability so that the loss of OL systems is a question of when, not whether. The time to address this problem is while the ADP systems are in development. Records required for contingency operations and their formats and medium are part of the basic ADP system requirements. Are we doing enough?

2. Can we develop a strategy for the downside? The last several years of Agency expansion have rushed us into a number of actions that may become troublesome when the budget cycle turns down. Increases in T.O.'s combined with increased contracting out for services such as Headquarters O&M have significantly altered the traditional set of OL values. When cuts come, will OL opt to save slots or cut contractors? When the annuitant pool dries up, will OL be equipped to absorb the loss? Can we train OL specialists today to move into other disciplines when normal personnel actions associated with cuts leave us short in critical areas? Having lost the sanctity of SLUC payments, are we prepared to cut Headquarters services or cut in other areas to maintain services?

[Redacted]

OL 2097-84

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY